TAMIKA LATOYA RICHARDSON,	*	IN THE	
Individually, and as Parent and Next Friend of Ty'Mira Camiya Day	*	CIRCUIT COURT	
a deceased Minor. 3905 Balfern Avenue	*	FOR	
Baltimore, MD 21213			
and	*	BALTIMORE CI	TY
and	*		
TYRONE DAY as Parent	ali		
and Next Friend of Ty'Mira Camiya Day a deceased Minor.	*		
2828 Mayfield Avenue	*	24-0-11-006760	
Baltimore, MD 21213	*		
Plaintiffs	ጥ	CASE NO.:	,
v.	*		
THE JOHNS HOPKINS HOSPITAL, et al	*		
Serve on: Joanne Pollack, Esq.			
Administration 414	*	Caen: 24-1-11	~lapus (lida
600 Wolfe Street		CV File Rev	or Prince of Market
Baltimore, MD 21205	*		425,05
		ARPEN FER	
	*	35. s.d	
AMANDA PATRICE STEWART, M.D.		vite ful Literatur	yaà.ve
600 N. Wolfe Street	*	isial	744.00 Niji.00
Phipps 228		175 194	57574500
Baltimore, MD 21287	*		
		Cintent:	
JUDE CRINO, M.D.	*	MATICA LATUYA	Kilhakusak vs
3740 Ashley Way		Junes hurking	
Owings Mills, MD 21117-1400	*	HOPIna Sia	
O wings with the state of the s		Marie Land and Alexander	dia. s.u"ti
JANICE LYNN HENDERSON, M.D	*	Wecenst tienn	
600 N. Wolfe Street, Phipps 205		Cashiers Do C Wezuszii 2:	SOFA SOFA
Baltimore, MD 21287	*.	SULY 604 111 F.	udr w
Baitiniore, WD 21267			
LAUREN KRILL, M.D.	*		
600 N. Wolfe Street			
Baltimore, MD 21205	*		
AT DEVANDO DELCUZEDES J. MEDITORAIC	мъ *		
ALEXANDRE BUCKLEY de MERITENS, N	AT'n, "		
600 N. Wolfe Street	*		
Baltimore, MD 21287	ጥ		

ANDREW YOON, M.D.

600 N. Wolfe Street Baltimore, MD 21205

S. TANG

600 N. Wolfe Street Baltimore, MD 21205

K. PENN

600 N. Wolfe Street Baltimore, MD 21205

OTHERS AS OF YET NOT IDENTIFIED PROVIDING SERVICES TO TAMIKA LATOYA RICHARDSON AND TY'MIRA CAMIYA DAY AT THE JOHNS HOPKINS HOSPITAL

600 N. Wolfe Street Baltimore, MD 21205

Defendants

COMPLAINT

Now comes the Plaintiffs, Tamika LaToya Richardson and Tyrone Day, as Parents and Next Friends of Ty'Mira Camiya Day, a deceased minor, by and through their attorneys, Paul J. Weber, and Hyatt & Weber, P.A., and file suit against The Johns Hopkins Hospital (hereinafter "Hopkins"), Amanda Patrice Stewart, M.D., Jude Crino, M.D., Janice Lynn Henderson, M.D., Lauren Krill, M.D., Andrew Yoon, M.D., S. Tang, K. Penn, and other yet unidentified health care providers, Defendants herein, stating as reasons:

- 1. The amount in controversy exceeds the jurisdictional requirements.
- 2. The Plaintiff has complied with §3-2A-06-B of the Courts and Judicial Proceedings Article, Maryland Annotated Code, in bringing this matter before the Health Claims Dispute Resolution Office, and a copy of the Waiver of Arbitration is being filed with the Complaint.
- 3. Venue of this claim is proper in Baltimore City, Maryland.
- 4. Defendant Hopkins is located at 600 N. Wolfe Street, Baltimore, MD 21205...

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 5. From January 27, 2009 through August 10, 2009 Plaintiff Richardson and her unborn child, Ty'Mira Day, received prenatal care from Hopkins' Maternal and Fetal Medicine Department.
- Hopkins' records indicated that trace protein levels were detected in Plaintiff,
 Richardson's urine on February 4, 2009, March 3, 2009, May 4, 2009, June 1, 2009
 and July 6, 2009.
- On July 20, 2009, Hopkins' records noted that Plaintiff Richardson's urine protein levels were +1=30mg/dL and that her Blood Pressure has risen to 128/75. The records also indicated that Hopkins' staff were aware that Plaintiff Richardson was developing preeclampsia..
- 5. Elevated protein levels and blood pressure readings are critical signs of preeclampsia, a disorder which occurs during pregnancy and the postpartum period, which is a leading contributor to both maternal and fetal death.

- 6. On July 27, 2009, Hopkins' records indicated that Plaintiff Richardson's urine protein levels were +2-100mg/dL and her blood pressure had risen to 142/74.

 Once again, the records indicated that Hopkins staff were aware that Plaintiff Richardson and her unborn child, Ty'Mira Day were at risk due to preeclampsia.
- 7. On August 3, 2009, Plaintiff Richardson and Ty'Mira Day were evaluated by Defendant Stewart. On this visit Plaintiff Richardson's urine protein levels had risen to +3=300mg/dL and her blood pressure was noted to be in the 140s/90s. Edema, another symptom of preeclampsia, was noted in Plantiff Richardson's face and hands.
- 8. Defendant Stewart sent Plaintiff Richardson to Labor and Delivery for evaluation of her blood pressure cycles and additional laboratory studies.
- 12. At Labor and Delivery, Defendants S. Tang, A. Yoon, M.D. and Alexandre Buckly de Meritans, M.D. evaluated Plaintiff Richardson.
- 13. Prior to sending Plaintiff Richardson home, Defendant K. Penn provided Plaintiff Richardson with a list of symptoms which could indicate that labor has begun and/or eclampsia has developed. His list made no mention of decreased fetal movement.
- 14. On August 6, 2009, Defendant Crino received lab results indicating that analysis of Plaintiff Richardson's 24 hour urine sample revealed a protein content reading 2952mg against a normal reference range of 25-100mg protein. A non-stress test was performed and amniotic fluid was tested. Hopkins' records listed the indication for Plaintiff Richardson's non-stress test as pregnancy-induced

- hypertension (preeclampsia). There was no ultrasound prescribed or performed by the Defendant, Crino on August 6, 2009.
- On August 8, 2009, Defendant Henderson evaluated Plaintiff Richardson for preeclampsia and another non-stress test was performed. Plaintiff Richardson was instructed to return for another non-stress test in two days and was encouraged to rest as much as possible on her left side. There was no ultrasound prescribed or performed by the Defendant, Henderson on August 8, 2009.
- 16. On August 10, 2009, Plaintiff Richardson returned for evaluation and was seen by Defendant Crino. The indication listed for non-stress testing on this date was pregnancy-induced hypertension (pre-eclampsia). Her blood pressure reading on this visit was significantly higher (136/84) than on her previous visit (128/78) yet she was sent home with instructions to return to the hospital if she develops a headache (a symptom of severe pre-eclampsia). There was no ultrasound prescribed or performed by the Defendant Crino on August 10, 2009.
- 17. On August 11, 2009, Plaintiff Richardson awoke at about 7:00 a.m. with a a headache, uterine contractions and decreased fetal movements..
- 18. Plaintiff Richardson's mother, Tamara Watson-Bridges called Hopkins' Labor and Delivery, explained her daughter's symptoms, and was instructed to bring Plaintiff Richardson to Labor and Delivery.
- 19. Plaintiff Richardson, her mother, sister and nephew drove the 5 miles from her home to Hopkins, arriving at approximately 7:20 a.m..

- 20. Upon arrival, Plaintiff Richardson checked in at the reception desk, informing a woman in street clothes, who was occupying the desk, that she had a headache, noted decreased fetal movement and was experiencing contractions. The woman in street clothes took down Plaintiff Richardson's personal and insurance information and informed Ms. Richardson that all of the Labor and Delivery rooms were in use and that she would be called when one was available.
- 21. Plaintiff Richardson noted that the only other person at the reception desk was a security guard, handing out passes.
- 22. Plaintiff Richardson and her family had been waiting for some time when she noticed that she was bleeding.
- 23. This prompted Plaintiff Richardson to return to the front desk and inform the woman sitting there that she was bleeding.
- 24.. The woman sitting at the desk gave Plaintiff Richardson a glass of water and told her that she would call Ms. Richardson when a room was available.
- 25. Plaintiff Richardson returned to her seat and continued to wait with her family for some time until it became clear to her that she was bleeding so heavily that the blood had soaked through her clothes and was soiling the waiting room chair on which she was sitting.
- 26. Plaintiff Richardson returned to the front desk and informed the woman sitting there that she was bleeding heavily and had soiled the chair. The woman called back to Labor and Delivery, inquired about room availability and informed Plaintiff Richardson that a room was being cleaned for her use that she should go back to her seat and would be called shortly.

- 27. Shortly thereafter, at approximately 9:00 a.m., Plaintiff Richardson was called back to a labor and delivery room.
- 28. Plaintiff Richardson's blood pressure was recorded as being 158/106 at 9:07

 a.m. and Nurse Catherine Trentacost could not find a fetal heartbeat at 9:09

 a.m..
- 29. By 9:11 a.m. Defendant Stewart and two other Hopkins doctors arrive at Plaintiff Richardson's bedside, confirm that there is no fetal heartbeat and begin treating Plaintiff Richardson for severe pre-eclampsia.
- 30. Plaintiff Richardson requires two units of transfused red blood cells shortly after admission.
- Hopkins' records indicate that Plaintiff Richardson was admitted to Labor and Delivery at 1:30 p.m. where labor is induced and Plaintiff Richardson delivered of a stillborn girl, Ty'Mira Day. Plaintiff Richardson's admit diagnosis was listed as fetal demise in utero and severe preeclampsia.
- Ms. Richardson suffered a seizure shortly after admission to Labor and Delivery. Seizures are a serious complication of pre-eclampsia.
- 23. Later, on the afternoon of August 11th, it was discovered that Plaintiff
 Richardson's retina had detached and she was cared for and followed up
 by Hopkins opthlamologists.
- Plaintiff Richardson was treated at Hopkins for the next 4 days, until she had recovered from her eclamptic symptoms and could return home.
- Plaintiff Richardson's Discharge summary states that, "Delivery was notable for an apparent abruption, with 75% of the placental surface covered by

- an adherent clot. Total blood loss was 300ml." Placental abruption is a common, devastating consequence of poorly managed preeclampsia.
- Plaintiff Ty'Mira Day weighed only 1,150 grams at birth, one pound less than what she should have weighed at 35 weeks, 4 days of age, which is highly suggestive that Ty'Mira Day suffered from Fetal Growth Restriction, a common complication of preeclampsia, which remained apparently unnoticed by Hopkins' staff throughout thirty-four weeks of pre-natal care.

COUNT I - MEDICAL MALPRACTICE

- 37. The Defendant, The Johns Hopkins Hospital and its agents, employees, and representatives, including but not limited to, Amanda Patrice Stewart, M.D., Jude Crino, M.D., Janice Lynn Henderson, M.D., Lauren Krill, M.D., Andrew Yoon, M.D., S. Tang, K. Penn, and other yet unidentified health care providers, negligently departed from the standard of care by:
 - a. The failure to use Doppler Velocimitry testing to determine if Ty'mira Day had developed intrauterine growth restriction, an indication of the severity of Plaintiff Richardson's preeclampsia, which would have mandated delivery of the child.
 - b. By allowing Ms. Richardson's pregnancy to continue past 34 weeks with evidence of severe preeclampsia;
 - c. The failure to provide any type of triage for Plaintiff Richardson upon her arrival at Hopkins' Labor and Delivery.

- d. The failure to recognize, despite having treated Plaintiff Richardson as a high risk obstetric patient throughout the prenatal period, that she needed to be evaluated immediately upon her arrival at Hopkins' Labor and Delivery.
- e. The failure of Hopkins' intake staff at Labor and Delivery to recognize that bleeding, in any pregnant woman near term is an emergency requiring immediate treatment.
- f. The failure of Hopkins' intake staff at Labor and Delivery to recognize that a high risk patient, bleeding profusely should not be directed back to her chair with instructions to continue waiting before being seen.
- g. And, were otherwise negligent.
- A reasonably prudent hospital and practitioner operating under the same or similar conditions, would not have failed to provide the important care listed above. Each of the foregoing acts of negligence on the part of the Defendants listed above, operating separately, in combinations of two or more, or jointly accumulatively, was the proximate cause of Ty'Mira Camiya Day's death, Plaintiff Tamika Richardson's eclamptic seizure and retinal detachment, and the damages that are more specifically described below. Neither the decedent nor the Plaintiffs were in any way negligent.
- 46. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' negligence in causing the death of Ty'Mira Camiya Day, Plaintiffs, Tamika LaToya Richardson and Tyrone Day sustained pecuniary loss, past and future mental anguish, past and future emotional pain and

suffering, loss of society, loss of companionship, loss of affection, loss of advice, loss of counsel, and loss of comfort.

47. This Complaint is timely filed within three (3) years after the death of Ty'Mira Camiya Day pursuant to Section 3-904 (f) of the Courts and Judicial Proceedings Article of the Maryland Annotated Code.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, Tamika LaToya Richardson and Tyrone Day, as Parents and Next Friends of Ty'Mira Camiya Day, a deceased minor, demands judgment be entered against Defendants The Johns Hopkins Hospital, Amanda Patrice Stewart, M.D., Jude Crino, M.D., Janice Lynn Henderson, M.D., Lauren Krill, M.D., Andrew Yoon, M.D., S. Tang, K. Penn, and other yet unidentified health care providers in an amount in excess of the \$30,000.00 jurisdictional amount of this Court, with interest, costs, and any and all other relief to which this Court finds them entitled.

Paul J. Weber Lisa Manissi

HYATT & WEBER, P.A. Severn Bank Building 200 Westgate Circle, Suite 500 Annapolis, MD 21401 (410) 266-0626 Attorney for Plaintiffs

TAMIKA LATOYA RICHARDSON,	*	IN THE
Individually, and as Parent		
and Next Friend of Ty'Mira Camiya Day	*	CIRCUIT COURT
a deceased Minor.		
3905 Balfern Avenue	*	FOR
Baltimore, MD 21213	a.	DAY ON LODE OVER
	*	BALTIMORE CITY
and	*	
MANDONAL DAY.	4	
TYRONE DAY as Parent	*	•
and Next Friend of Ty'Mira Camiya Day a deceased Minor.	•	
2828 Mayfield Avenue	*	
Baltimore, MD 21213		
Plaintiffs	*	CASE NO.:
1 mmm		
v.	*	
''		
THE JOHNS HOPKINS HOSPITAL, et al	*	
Serve on: Joanne Pollack, Esq.		•
Administration 414	*	
600 Wolfe Street		
Baltimore, MD 21205	*	
		•
	*	
AMANDA PATRICE STEWART, M.D.		
600 N. Wolfe Street	*	
Phipps 228	*	
Baltimore, MD 21287	•	
JUDE CRINO, M.D.	*	
3740 Ashley Way		
Owings Mills, MD 21117-1400	*	
Owings wins, with 21,117-1400		
JANICE LYNN HENDERSON, M.D	*	
600 N. Wolfe Street, Phipps 205		
Baltimore, MD 21287	*	
		•
LAUREN KRILL, M.D.	*	
600 N. Wolfe Street		
Baltimore, MD 21205	*	
ALEXANDRE BUCKLEY de MERITENS,	M.D. *	
600 N. Wolfe Street		
Baltimore, MD 21287	*	

ANDREW YOON, M.D. 600 N. Wolfe Street	*
Baltimore, MD 21205	*
S. TANG 600 N. Wolfe Street	*
Baltimore, MD 21205	*
K. PENN 600 N. Wolfe Street	*
Baltimore, MD 21205	*
OTHERS AS OF YET NOT IDENTIFIED PROVIDING	*
SERVICES TO TAMIKA LATOYA RICHARDSON AND	*
TY'MIRA CAMIYA DAY AT THE JOHNS HOPKINS HOSPITAL	*
600 N. Wolfe Street Baltimore, MD 21205	*
Dardinore, WD 21203	*

Defendants

* * * * * * *

NOW COMES the Plaintiffs, Tamika Latoya Richardson, Individually, and as Parent and Next Friend of Ty'Mira Camiya Day and Tyrone Day as Parent and Next Friend of Ty'Mira Camiya Day, by and through their attorneys, Paul J. Weber, Lisa Mannisi and Hyatt & Weber, P.A. and request the captioned matter be tried by a jury.

Paul J. Weber Lisa Manissi Hyatt & Weber, P.A. 200 Westgate Circle, Suite 500 Annapolis, MD 21401

Circuit Court for	BALTIMORE	CITY
-------------------	-----------	------

CASE IN

CIVIL. NON-DOMESTIC CASE INFORMATION REPORT

CIVIL - I	NON-DOMESTIC CASE IN	OKMATION KET	UKI	
DIRECTIONS:				
Plaintiff: This Information Report must be completed and attached to the complaint filed with the Clerk of Court				
	unless your case is exempted from the requirement by the Chief Judge of the Court of Appeals pursuant to Rule 2-111(a).			
A copy must be included for each d		Bl. 2 222/L)		
Dejenaant: You must jus	e an Information Report as required t ORT CANNOT BE ACCEPTED AS A	у кие 2-323(п). Ам Амешер сър ресед	ANGE	
EODM EILED BY: PLAINTE	FF DEFENDANT CASE N	ПІМОЕТ		
		•	(Clerk to insert)	
CASE NAME: TAMIKA LATOY	A RICHARDSON, et al vs.	JOHNS HOPKINS H	OSPITAL, et al	
	Plaintiff		Defendant	
JURY DEMAND: Yes N	lo Anticipated length of tria	l: hours or	days	
RELATED CASE PENDING? Y	es 🗷 No If yes, Case #(s), if know	n:		
Special Requirements? Interpre				
	ecommodation (Please attach Form C	PC DC 40)		
LJ ADA 8	ecommodation (Flease attach Form C	C-DC 49)	•	
NATURE O	F ACTION	DAMAC	GES/RELIEF	
(CHECK O		T		
TORTS	LABOR	A, TOR	TS	
Motor Tort	Workers' Comp.	Actual Damages	<u>.</u>	
Premises Liability	Wrongful Discharge	Under \$7,500	Medical Bills	
Assault & Battery	□ EEO	\$7,500 - \$50,000	\$	
Product Liability	Other	\$50,000 - \$100,000	Property Damages	
Professional Malpractice	CONTRACTS	Over \$100,000		
:=	☐ Insurance	EST C VCI \$100,000	\$	
Wrongful Death	·		☐ Wage Loss	
Business & Commercial	Confessed Judgment		\$	
Libel & Slander	Other			
☐ False Arrest/Imprisonment	REAL PROPERTY	B. CONTRACTS	C. NONMONETARY	
Nuisance	Judicial Sale			
Toxic Torts	Condemnation	Under \$10,000	Declaratory Judgment	
Fraud	Landlord Tenant	\$10,000 - \$20,000	Injunction	
Malicious Prosecution	Other	Over \$20,0000	Other	
Lead Paint	OTHER			
	l 			
Asbestos	Civil Rights			
Other	Environmental			
Medical Malpractice	ADA			
	Other			
	VE DISPUTE RESOLUTION INFO			
Is this case appropriate for referral to	an ADR process under Md. Rule 17-	·101? (Check all that appl	у)	
A. Mediation 🔏 Yes 🔲 No C. Settlement Conference 🖄 Yes 🔲 No				
B. Arbitration ☐ Yes 🔀	No D. Neutral E	valuation Yes 🔀	No	
	TRACK REQUEST			
With the exception of Baltimore Cou	nty and Baltimore City, please fill in t	the estimated LENGTH (OF TRIAL.	
THIS CASE WILL THEN BE TRA				
1/2 day of trial or less 2 days of trial time				
1 day of trial time More than 3 days of trial time				
2 days of trial time				
PLEASE SEE PAGE TWO OF THIS FORM FOR INSTRUCTIONS PERTAINING TO THE BUSINESS AND				
TECHNOLOGY CASE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM AND COMPLEX SØIENØE AÑD/OR MEDICAL CASE				
MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (AS	STAR), AS WELL AS ADDITIONA	AL INSTRUCTIONS IF	YOU ARE FILING YOUR	
COMPLAINT IN BALTIMORE O	CITY, PRINCE GEORGE'S COUN	TY, OR BALTIMORE	COUNTY.	
Date 1/24/11	Signature	lfil (Hi		
~~~ <del>                                 </del>		/ · · · · · ·		

BUSINESS AND TECHNOLOGY CASE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM			
For all jurisdictions, if	Business and Technology track design copy of complaint and ch	nation under Md. Rule 16-205 is reque eck one of the tracks below	ested, attach a duplicate
	Expedited	Standard	•
7	Frial within 7 months	Trial within 18 months	5
	of Filing	of Filing	
☐ EMERGENCY REI	JEF REQUESTED	Signature	Date
	COMPLEX SCIENCE AI MANAGEMENT PR	ND/OR MEDICAL CASE OGRAM (ASTAR)	
FOR PURPOSES OF Plea	POSSIBLE SPECIAL ASSIGNMENT T ise check the applicable box below and	O AN ASTAR RESOURCE JUDGE un attach a duplicate copy of your comple	der Md. Rule 16-202. nint.
Expedited	- Trial within 7 months of Filing	Standard - Trial within 18 i	months of Filing
IF YOU ARE FILING YO COUNTY PLEASE FILI	OUR COMPLAINT IN BALTIMORE LOUT THE APPROPRIATE BOX BE	CITY, PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNT LOW.	Y, OR BALTIMORE
CII	RCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMO	RE CITY (CHECK ONLY ONE	5)
☐ Expedited	Trial 60 to 120 days from notice. No	on-jury matters.	
Standard-Short	Trial 210 days.		
Standard	Trial 360 days.		
Lead Paint	Fill in: Birth Date of youngest plain	tiff	·
Asbestos	Events and deadlines set by individu	ual judge.	
Protracted Cases	Complex cases designated by the Ad	fministrative Judge.	
	CIRCUIT COURT FOR PRI	NCE GEORGE'S COUNTY	
To assist the Court in an admission and may not	determining the appropriate Track for to be used for any purpose other than Tra	his case, check one of the boxes below. ick Assignment.	This information is <u>not</u>
Liability is concede	d.		
Liability is not cond	eded, but is not seriously in dispute.		
Liability is seriously	y in dispute.		

CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY		
Expedited (Trial Date-90 days)	Attachment Before Judgment, Declaratory Judgment (Simple), Administrative Appeals, District Court Appeals and Jury Trial Prayers, Guardianship, Injunction, Mandamus.	
Standard (Trial Date-240 days)	Condemnation, Confessed Judgments (Vacated), Contract, Employment Related Cases, Fraud and Misrepresentation, International Tort, Motor Tort, Other Personal Injury, Workers' Compensation Cases.	
Extended Standard (Trial Date-345 days)	Asbestos, Lender Liability, Professional Malpractice, Serious Motor Tort or Personal Injury Cases (medical expenses and wage loss of \$100,000, expert and out-of-state witnesses (parties), and trial of five or more days), State Insolvency.	
Complex (Trial Date-450 days)	Class Actions, Designated Toxic Tort, Major Construction Contracts, Major Product Liabilities, Other Complex Cases.	