STEPHANIE MCMONIGLE, Individually and as Personal Representative of the Estate of NICOLETTE MCMONIGLE 21323 Gunpowder Road Manchester, Maryland 21102	*	IN THE
	*	CIRCUIT COURT
	*	FOR SIVELLEY AND A SIVELEY AND
and	*	BALTIMORE CITY
RANDY MCMONIGLE	*	
21323 Gunpowder Road Manchester, Maryland 21102	*	
Plaintiffs,	*	Case No.: JUCLEW7886
V.	*	
THE JOHNS HOPKINS HOSPITAL	*	
600 North Wolfe Street Baltimore, Maryland 21287	*	
Serve on: G. Daniel Shealer, Jr. 733 N. Broadway, Suite 102	*	
Baltimore, Maryland 21205	*	
and	*	
JEANNE S. SHEFFIELD, M.D. Johns Hopkins Hospital	*	
600 N. Wolfe Street Phipps 228	*	
Baltimore, Maryland 21287 and	*	
	*	
ORLANDO VALLE, M.D. Johns Hopkins Hospital	*	
1800 Orleans Street Baltimore, Maryland 21287		
and	*	

WILLIAM FLETCHER, M.D.
253 Pleasant Street
Concord, New Hampshire 03303
and
ROSENE UNICA R.N.
Johns Hopkins Hospital
Maternal/fetal Medicine Clinic
600 North Wolfe Street
Nelson 2-170
Baltimore, Maryland 21287

Defendants.

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, Stephanie McMonigle, Individually and as the Personal Representative of the Estate of Nicolette Elise McMonigle, and Randy McMonigle, by and through their counsel, H. Briggs Bedigian, Jon Stefanuca, and Gilman & Bedigian, LLC, hereby sues the Defendants, Johns Hopkins Hospital, Jeanne Sheffield, M.D., Orlando Valle, M.D., William Fletcher, M.D., and Rosene Unica, R.N. and in support of their causes of action state:

JURISDICTION, VENUE AND PARTIES

- 1. This medical negligence claim is instituted pursuant to Md. Code Ann., Cts. & Jud. Proc. §§ 3-2A-01 §3-2A-10, for the recovery of damages in excess of all judiciary and/or legislative jurisdictional amounts. Plaintiffs have previously filed a Statement of Claim, Certificates of Qualified Expert and Reports, and a Notice of Waiver with the Health Care Alternative Dispute Resolution Office. Plaintiffs have also received an Order of Transfer from the Health Care alternative Dispute Resolution Office.
- 2. Plaintiff, Stephanie McMonigle, is the mother and Personal Representative of Nicolette Elise McMonigle's Estate. Plaintiff, Randy McMonigle is the father of Nicolette

McMonigle. At all relevant times, Decedent, Nicolette Elise McMonigle (hereinafter "Decedent," "fetus" or "baby") was a resident of Baltimore City, Maryland. Stephanie and Randy McMonigle are residents of Baltimore City as well.

- 3. Plaintiffs hereby attach and incorporate by reference the Certificate of Qualified Expert and Report of James Balducci, M.D.
- 4. Defendant, Johns Hopkins Hospital (hereinafter "JHH"), is and at all relevant times hereto was, a corporation engaged in the administration, staffing, operation, and supervision of a medical center in Baltimore City, Maryland, providing medical care and other services, acting individually and/or through its actual and/or apparent agents, servants and/or employees, including, but not limited to Jeanne Sheffield, M.D., Orlando Valle, M.D., William Fletcher, M.D., and Rosene Unica R.N. (a/k/a Bing or username "runica1").
- 5. At all relevant times hereto, Jeanne Sheffield, M.D., Orlando Valle, M.D., William Fletcher, M.D., and Nurse Unica acted as actual and/or apparent agents, servants and/or employees of JHH acting without exception within the scope of their agency with JHH. Otherwise, JHH represented these individual Defendants as its actual agents to Ms. McMonigle and the general public such that Ms. McMonigle, like any reasonable person, operated under the assumption that these providers were actual agents of JHH. Hence, JHH is vicariously liable for the actions and/or inactions of these Defendants as alleged in this Complaint under principles of actual and/or apparent agency.
- 6. Defendant, Jeanne Sheffield, M.D. (hereinafter "Dr. Sheffield"), is and at all times relevant hereto was a physician licensed to practice medicine in Baltimore City, Maryland, including at JHH, acting individually and/or through actual and/or apparent agents, servants and/or employees.

- 7. Defendant, William Fletcher, M.D. (hereinafter "Dr. Fletcher"), is and at all times relevant hereto was a physician licensed to practice medicine in Baltimore City, Maryland, including at JHH, acting individually and/or through actual and/or apparent agents, servants and/or employees.
- 8. Defendant, Orlando Valle, M.D. (hereinafter "Dr. Valle"), is and at all times relevant hereto was a physician licensed to practice medicine in Baltimore City, Maryland, including at JHH, acting individually and/or through actual and/or apparent agents, servants and/or employees.
- 9. Nurse Unica is and at all times relevant hereto was licensed to practice nursing in Baltimore City, Maryland, including at JHH, acting individually and/or through actual and/or apparent agents, servants and/or employees.
- 10. At all times of which Plaintiffs complain, the above referenced Defendants, through their agents, servants and employees, represented to Plaintiffs and the general public that they possessed the degree of knowledge, ability, and skill possessed by reasonably competent medical practitioners, practicing under the same or similar circumstances as those involving Plaintiffs.
- 11. The medical care that forms the basis of the Plaintiffs' Complaint occurred at Johns Hopkins Bayview. Accordingly, Baltimore City, Maryland is the appropriate venue.

FACTS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

12. Plaintiff, Stephanie McMonigle, was admitted to JHH's labor and delivery unit on 12/29/15. She was 26 year-old and 31 weeks-pregnant. Her prenatal course was unremarkable. On 12/29/15, however, she reported abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, elevated blood pressures and swelling of her lower extremities consistent with preeclampsia. Preterm labor was suspected,

but ruled out on physical exam. Ms. McMonigle appeared tearful and anxious. The abdomen was tender in the right lower quadrant and in the suprapubic area.

- 13. Systematic monitoring began at approximately 8:50 a.m. Initial fetal heart tracing was reassuring with an acceptable fetal heart rate, moderate variability, and no decelerations.
- 14. The initial presentation included elevated maternal blood pressures, which in conjunction with other symptoms, were consistent with preeclampsia. Preeclampsia is known to cause life-threatening complications, including placental abruptions.
- 15. By 10:58 a.m., Ms. McMonigle was noted to have suprapubic, right lower quadrant and right flank pain despite Tylenol. The pain was characterized as constant and nagging. However, the fetal heart rate was overall reassuring. An abdominal ultrasound was considered but not performed.
- 16. At 11:02 a.m., Ms. McMonigle reported lower abdominal pain with cramping. Fetal monitor tracing remained reassuring around the same time. A uterine catheter was inserted at approximately 11:02 a.m., as well.
- fetal heart rate which prompted monitor adjustments between 11:32 a.m., and 11:46 a.m. The nursing staff did not appear to call a physician during this time frame for assistance and input. At 11:45 a.m., a fetal heart rate was detected by ultrasound, but the fetal monitor continued to pick up the maternal pulse. The fetal heart rate on ultrasound was described as agonal. Finally, at 11:50 a.m., a physician called to check on the fetal heart rate as detected by ultrasound, indicating the physician's awareness that there were problems detecting the fetal heart rate. Within two minutes, Dr. Valle was at bedside. Dr. Fletcher and Dr. Sheffield were at bedside another two minutes later at 11:54 a.m., recognizing the emergency of the situation. Bradycardia

was appreciated and a decision to proceed with an emergency cesarean section was documented at 11:59 a.m.

- 18. Terbutaline was administered, and Ms. McMonigle was brought to the operating room where general anesthesia was administered. Anesthesia was started at 12:05 p.m., and the incision was made at 12:12 p.m. with the baby being delivered shortly thereafter.
- 19. During the surgery, notable findings included a couvelaire uterus with prominent generalized ecchymosis consistent with a placental abruption. Intraoperatively, Ms.

 McMonigle's hemoglobin was noted to be 6.5, requiring one unit of packed red blood cells during the cesarean section. Moreover, the uterus was noted to be completely abrupted with large blood clots removed from the uterine cavity. Subsequent placental pathology revealed a histologically unremarkable placenta and umbilical cord, except for the finding of a significant placental infarct consistent with the placental abruption. The total blood loss during the surgery was estimated at 1700cc. In the interim, Ms. McMonigle's blood pressures deteriorated consistent with severe preeclampsia, and she required magnesium for hemodynamic control.
- 20. The baby was delivered via cesarean section at approximately 12:12 p.m. Cord blood samples coagulated before testing could be performed. The APGAR score was 0, 2, 3 at 1, 5 and 10 minutes respectively. The baby was noted to be limp, apneic, and cyanotic. There was no heart beat for approximately five minutes after delivery. The baby was transferred to the neonatal intensive care in light of her critical condition. Subsequent radiographic studies of the head revealed parenchymal edema with global ischemia. Additional studies showed little to no brain activity and the baby was diagnosed with as hypoxic ischemic injury.
- 21. Ms. McMonigle was observed in the labor and delivery unit on 24 hours of postpartum magnesium. Her blood pressures, which were in the severe range, eventually

resolved. In the interim, she received additional blood products. Thereafter, she was transitioned to the postpartum unit without further complications. Unfortunately, her baby girl did not recover and died on 12/30/15 secondary to the placental abruption.

COUNT I (SURVIVAL ACTION)

Plaintiff, Stephanie McMonigle, as the Estate Representative of Decedent, Nicoletta McMonigle, hereby sues the named Defendants and for their cause of action states as follows:

- 22. Plaintiff incorporates herein by this reference and re-alleges the above paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully stated herein.
- 23. In their care and treatment of Ms. McMonigle and her baby, the Defendants, acting individually and/or by and/or through their actual and/or apparent agents, servants, and/or employees, including, but not limited to, Jeanne Sheffield, M.D., Orlando Valle, M.D., William Fletcher, M.D., and Nurse Unica, owed Plaintiffs the duty to exercise that degree of care and skill which a reasonably competent physician, nurse practitioner, and/or similar healthcare provider is required to provide under the same or similar circumstances. Specifically, these Defendants, acting by and though their actual and/or apparent agents, had a duty to conduct appropriate and careful examinations of Ms. McMonigle and her baby in order to properly and timely diagnose and treat their condition, including, but not limited to, fetal distress, non-reassuring fetal status, and placental abruption.
- 24. The applicable standards of care required the Defendants, acting by and through their actual and/or apparent agents, to deliver Nicoletta McMonigle before she sustained permanent and irreversible injuries, which caused here death.
- 25. Defendants, acting individually and/or by and through their respective actual and/or apparent agents, servants, and/or employees, including, but not limited to, Jeanne

Sheffield, M.D., Orlando Valle, M.D., William Fletcher, M.D, and Nurse Unica breached the applicable standards of care in their treatment of Ms. McMonigle and her baby by, *inter alia*:

- a. failing to properly monitor Ms. Ms. McMonigle's condition and the condition of her baby;
- b. failing to properly document Ms. McMonigle's condition and the condition of her baby;
 - c. failing to timely communicate with other Defendants;
 - d. failing to timely execute/implement orders;
 - e. failing to timely and correctly interpret important medical information and to convey that information to other Defendants;
 - f. failing to intervene to protect Plaintiffs;
 - g. failing to perform medical interventions to diagnose fetal distress;
 - h. failing to appreciate the presence of fetal distress and placental abruption;
 - i. failing to perform medical interventions to alleviate fetal distress;
 - j. failing to perform an emergent C-section timely;
 - k. failing to appreciate the gravity of Ms. McMonigle's condition and risk factors;
 - 1. failing to intervene to protect and prevent injury to Nicoletta McMonigle;
 - n. failing to timely appreciate and react to the deterioration of Plaintiffs' condition;
 - o. negligently exposing Plaintiffs to an unreasonable risk of harm; and
 - q. otherwise acting negligently.

- 23. As a direct and proximate result of the above-mentioned deviations from the applicable standards of care by these Defendants, acting by and through their actual and/or apparent agents, Nicoletta McMonigle has suffered injuries and damages, including, but not limited to:
 - a. Neurological damage;
 - b. bodily injuries;
 - c. Hypoxic ischemic injuries;
 - d. Brain damage;
 - e. unnecessary medical procedures and other invasive interventions;
 - f. Physical and mental pain;
 - g. Organ damage, including brain damage;
 - h. Brain death;
 - i. Disfigurement;
 - j. Medical expenses;
 - k. Funeral expenses;
 - 1. Death; and
 - m. Other related damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Stephanie McMonigle, as the Estate Representative of Nicoletta McMonigle, brings this action against the named Defendants and seeks all permitted compensatory damages, including costs, interest and such other and further relief as may be deemed appropriate. The damages sought are in excess of the required jurisdictional amount.

COUNT II (WRONGFUL DEATH ACTION)

Plaintiffs, Stephanie McMonigle and Randy McMonigle, Individually sue the named Defendants and for their cause of action state as follows:

- 24. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference each and every allegation contained in the above paragraphs of this Complaint and in all subsequent counts as if fully stated herein.
- 25. As a direct and proximate result of the alleged negligence as more fully detailed in Count I above and Count III infra, Plaintiffs have suffered and will continue to suffer mental anguish, agony, despair, emotional pain and suffering, loss of society, loss of companionship, comfort, guidance, attention and care, among other injuries and damages recognized under Maryland law in a wrongful death action. The loss of their child, caused and will forever continue to cause severe emotional and mental suffering.
- 26. The injuries and damages complained of were directly and proximately caused by the negligence and lack of care of the named Defendants, acting jointly and/or individually and/or by and through their agents, servants and employees, including but not limited to, Jeanne Sheffield, M.D., Orlando Valle, M.D., William Fletcher, M.D, and Nurse Unika.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Stephanie McMonigle and Randy McMonigle, Individually, bring this action against the named Defendants and seek all permitted compensatory damages, including costs, interest and such other and further relief as may be deemed appropriate. The damages sought are in excess of the required jurisdictional amount.

COUNT III (INFORMED CONSENT)

Plaintiff, Stephanie McMonigle, Individually and as the Estate Representative of Nicoletta McMonigle, hereby sues the named Defendant Defendants and for cause of action states as follows:

- 29. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in the above paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 30. The named Defendants, acting individually and/or by and/or through their respective actual and/or apparent agents, servants, and/or employees as alleged in Count I, owed Plaintiffs the duty to appropriately inform Ms. McMonigle about the nature of her medical condition and the condition of her baby *in utero*, the proposed treatments/monitoring for such conditions, the indications for the proposed treatment/monitoring, the risks and benefits of the proposed treatment/monitoring, and the alternatives to the proposed treatment and monitoring.
- 31. The named Defendants, acting by and through their actual and/or apparent agents, servants, and/or employees as alleged in Count I, failed to adequately and appropriately obtain Ms. McMonigle's informed consent for the treatment plan adopted and implemented by them.
- 29. Had the named Defendants, acting by and through their actual and/or apparent agents, fully and timely informed Ms. McMonigle of the non-reassuring fetal monitoring tracings, the possibility of a placental abruption, signs and symptoms of fetal distress and the risk of neurological injury and/or death if an expedited delivery was not performed, Ms. McMonigle, like any reasonable person, would have chosen to undergo an emergency C-section without delay. However, the named Defendants did not make these disclosures.
- 31. As a direct and proximate result of the negligent and careless acts and omissions of the named Defendants as alleged in Count I and as a result of their failure to obtain Ms. McMonigle's informed consent, Plaintiffs suffered and will continue to suffer the injuries and damages more fully set forth in Count I and II above.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Stephanie McMonigle, Individually and as the Estate Representative of Nicoletta McMonigle, brings this action against the named Defendants and

seeks all permitted compensatory damages, including costs, interest and such other and further relief as may be deemed appropriate. The damages sought are in excess of the required jurisdictional amount.

Respectfully submitted,

GIEMAN & BEDIGIAN, LLC

H. Briggs Bedigian
Jon S. Stefanuca
1954 Greenspring Drive, Suite 250
Timonium, Maryland 21093
410 560-4999
Counsel for Plaintiffs

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

The Plaintiffs hereby demand a trial by jury on all of the issues raised in Plaintiffs' Complaint.

H. Briggs Bedigian

CIVIL - NON-DOMESTIC CASE INFORMATION REPORT				
DIRECTIONS				
Plaintiff This Informatio	n Report must be completed a		filed with the Clerk of Court	
unless your case is exempted from the requirement by the Chief Judge of the Court of Appeals pursuant to Rule				
2-111(a).		: II B I 0.000/IN		
	an Information Report as req			
THIS INFO	PRMATION REPORT CANN	OT BE ACCEPTED AS A P	LEADING	
FORM FILED BY: 🗷 PI	LAINTIFF 🗇 DEFENDAN	T CASE NUMBER		
CASE NAME: Stephanie M	IcMonigle, et al.	vs. The Johns Hopkin	(Clerk to insert) as Hospital, et al.	
CASE NAME: Stephane	Plaintiff	vs	Defendant	
PARTY'S NAME: Stephanie	e McMonigle			
ART I S NAME, 21222	Gunnauder Dd. Manahastar	MD 212 443 37	3 1604	
PARTY'S ADDRESS: 2132	Gunpowder Rd, Manchester	HONE: 443 37.	(Daytime phone)	
PARTY'S E-MAIL: stephani	iemcmonigle@gmail.com		(1211) time phono	
if represented by an attorn	ey:			
PARTY'S ATTORNEY'S NA	AME: H. Briggs Bedigian	PHONE: 410 560	0-4999	
DAPTVIC ATTORNEVIC AT	DDRESS: 1954 Greenspring	Drive, Suite 250		
ACTORIOTIAN OF TAN	hhh@ghlegalteam.com			
	MAIL: hbb@gblegalteam.con			
IURY DEMAND?	⊠ Yes □ No		•	
RELATED CASE PENDIN	IG?□Yes 図No If yes, C OF TRIAL: hours	ase #(s), if known:		
ANTICIPATED LENGTH	OF TRIAL: hours	s or8 days		
	PLEADIN			
New Case: Original	☐ Administrative	Appeal		
Existing Case: 🗖 Post-Jud			_	
If filing in an existing case,	skip Case Category/ Subcateg	ory section - go to Relief sect	ion.	
TE NEW C	CASE: CASE CATEGORY/	SURCATEGORY (Check of	ne hor)	
	<u> </u>			
CORTS Asbestos	Government Insurance	PUBLIC LAW	Constructive Trust	
Assault and Battery Business and Commercial	Product Liability	Attorney Grievance Bond Forfeiture Remission	☐ Contempt ☐ Deposition Notice	
Business and Commercial	PROPERTY	Civil Rights	Dist Ct Mtn Appeal	
Conspiracy	Adverse Possession	County/Mncpl Code/Ord	☐ Financial	
Conversion	Breach of Lease Detinue	☐ Election Law	Grand Jury/Petit Jury	
Defamation		Eminent Domain/Condemn.	Miscellaneous	
☐ False Arrest/Imprisonment ☐ Fraud	☐ Distress/Distrain	☐ Environment	Perpetuate Testimony/Evidence	
☐ Lead Paint - DOB of	Ejectment	☐ Error Coram Nobis ☐ Habeas Corpus ☐ Mandamus	Prod. of Documents Req.	
Youngest Plt:	Forcible Entry/Detainer Forcolosure	☐ Habeas Corpus	Receivership	
Loss of Consortium	Commercial	☐ Mandamus	Sentence Transfer	
Malicious Prosecution	Residential	☐ Prisoner Rights	Set Aside Deed Special Adm Atty	
Malpractice-Medical	Currency or Vehicle	Public Info. Act Récords	Subpoena Issue/Quash	
Malpractice-Professional	Deed of Trust	Quarantine/Isolation	☐ Trust Established	
] Misrepresentation	☐ Land Installments	☐ Writ of Certiorari	☐ Trustee Substitution/Removal	
71 x 4	🔲 Lien	EMPLOYMENT	☐ Witness Appearance-Compel	
] Negligence	Mortgage	_	PEACE ORDER	
Nuisance	Right of Redemption	☐ ADA ☐ Conspiracy	Peace Order	
Premises Liability	Statement Condo Forfeiture of Property /	☐ EEO/HR	EQUITY	
Troduct Liability Specific Performance	Personal Item	□ FLSA	Declaratory Judgment	
Toxic Tort	☐ Fraudulent Conveyance	O FMLA	Equitable Relief	
Motor Tort Negligence Nuisance Premises Liability Product Liability Specific Performance Toxic Tort Trespass	Landlord-Tenant	☐ Workers' Compensation	Injunctive Relief	
wrongiui Death	Lis Pendens	☐ Wrongful Termination	Mandamus	
CONTRACT	Mechanic's Lien	INDEPENDENT	OTHER	
Asbestos	Ownership Partition/Sale in Lieu	PROCEEDINGS	Accounting	
Breach Business and Commercial	Quiet Title		Friendly Suit	
	Rent Escrow	Assumption of Jurisdiction	Grantor in Possession	
Construction .	Keturn of Seized Property	Authorized Sale	☐ Maryland Insurance Administratio ☐ Miscellaneous	
Contessed Judgment (Conta) Construction Debt	Right of Redemption	☐ Attorney Appointment ☐ Body Attachment Issuance	Specific Transaction	
) Fraud	☐ Tenant Holding Over	Commission Issuance	Structured Settlements	

IF NEW OR EXISTING CASE: RELIEF (Check All that Apply)			
Abatement Administrative Action Appointment of Receiver Arbitration Asset Determination Attachment b/f Judgment Cease & Desist Order Condemn Bldg Contempt Court Costs/Fees Damages-Compensatory Damages-Punitive	☐ Earnings Withholding ☐ Enrollment ☐ Expungement ☐ Findings of Fact ☐ Foreclosure ☐ Injunction ☐ Judgment-Affidavit ☐ Judgment-Confessed ☐ Judgment-Consent ☐ Judgment-Declaratory ☐ Judgment-Default	☐ Judgment-Interest ☐ Judgment-Summary ☐ Liability ☐ Oral Examination ☐ Order ☐ Ownership of Property ☐ Partition of Property ☐ Peace Order ☐ Possession ☐ Production of Records ☐ Quarantine/Isolation Order ☐ Reinstatement of Employn	Return of Property Sale of Property Specific Performance Writ-Error Coram Nobis Writ-Execution Writ-Garnish Property Writ-Garnish Wages Writ-Habeas Corpus Writ-Mandamus Writ-Possession
, , ,	Liability is not conceded, but	is not seriously in dispute.	☐ Liability is seriously in dispute.
MONETAR	Y DAMAGES (Do not inclu	de Attorney's Fees, Interes	t, or Court Costs)
☐ Under \$10,000 ☐ Medical Bills \$	□ \$10,000 - \$30,000 □ Wage Loss	□ \$30,000 - \$100,000 \$ □ Pro	☑ Over \$100,000
Α	LTERNATIVE DISPUTE	RESOLUTION INFORMA	ATION
Is this case appropriate for referral to an ADR process under Md. Rule 17-101? (Check all that apply) A. Mediation ☑ Yes ☐ No C. Settlement Conference ☑ Yes ☐ No B. Arbitration ☐ Yes ☑ No D. Neutral Evaluation ☐ Yes ☑ No			
SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS			
Oug out it			C 0.11
☐ If a Spoken Language Interpreter is needed, check here and attach form CC-DC-041 ☐ If you require an accommodation for a disability under the Americans with Disabilities Act, check here and attach form CC-DC-049			
	ESTIMATED L	ENGTH OF TRIAL	
With the exception of Baltimore County and Baltimore City, please fill in the estimated LENGTH OF TRIAL.			
(Case will be tracked accordingly)			
☐ 1/2 c	day of trial or less	☐ 3 days of trial time	e
☐ 1 da	y of trial time	✓ More than 3 days	of trial time
☐ 2 da	ys of trial time	_ ,	
BUSINESS AND TECHNOLOGY CASE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM			
For all jurisdictions, if Business and Technology track designation under Md. Rule 16-308 is requested, attach a duplicate copy of complaint and check one of the tracks below.			
	d- Trial within 7 months efendant's response		within 18 months of nt's response
EMERGENCY RELIEF REQUESTED			

COMPLEX SCIENCE AND/OR TECHNOLOGICAL CASE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (ASTAR)					
FOR PURPOSES OF POSSIBLE SPECIAL ASSIGNMENT TO ASTAR RESOURCES JUDGES under Md. Rule 16-302, attach a duplicate copy of complaint and check whether assignment to an ASTAR is requested.					
☐ Expedited - Trial within 7 months of Defendant's response ☐ Standard - Trial within 18 months of Defendant's response					
<i>IF YOU ARE FILING YO THE APPROPRIATE BO</i>		RE CITY, OR BALTIMORE COUNTY, PLEASE FILL OUT			
Cire	CUIT COURT FOR BALTIN	AORE CITY (CHECK ONLY ONE)			
Expedited	Trial 60 to 120 days from notic	e. Non-jury matters.			
Civil-Short	Trial 210 days from first answer.				
Civil-Standard	Civil-Standard Trial 360 days from first answer.				
Custom	Scheduling order entered by individual judge.				
Asbestos	Special scheduling order.				
Lead Paint	Lead Paint Fill in: Birth Date of youngest plaintiff				
Tax Sale Foreclosures	Special scheduling order.				
Mortgage Foreclosure	es No scheduling order.				
CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY					
Expedited (Trial Date-90 days)	Attachment Before Judgment, De Court Appeals and Jury Trial Pra	eclaratory Judgment (Simple), Administrative Appeals, District yers, Guardianship, Injunction, Mandamus.			
Standard (Trial Date-240 days)					
Extended Standard (Trial Date-345 days)		ssional Malpractice, Serious Motor Tort or Personal Injury Cases of \$100,000, expert and out-of-state witnesses (parties), and trial vency.			
Complex (Trial Date-450 days)	Class Actions, Designated Toxic Other Complex Cases.	Tort, Major Construction Contracts, Major Product Liabilities,			
	/2018				
Date Signature of Counsel / Party 1954 Greenspring Drive, Suite 250 H. Briggs Bedigian					
Ade	dress Daryland 21093	Printed Name			
	tate, Zip				